First Example of Molybdenum Atoms in the Network of High Temperature Chevrel Phases: $Mo_{\nu}[Mo_{6}Se_{8-x}S_{x}]$

Stéphanie Belin, Roger Chevrel, and Marcel Sergent

Laboratoire de Chimie du Solide et Inorganique Moléculaire (LCSIM), UMR CNRS 6511, Université de Rennes-1, Avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

Received March 17; 1998; revised form November 15, 1998; accepted February 26, 1999

In the literature $Mo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 4$) Chevrel phase binaries are stable compounds, synthesized by solid state reaction from stoichiometric mixture of MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$, and Mo powders at 1200°C. We report the single-crystal structure of these compounds. The structural determinations show as expected a classical Chevrel phase structure with a framework of pseudomolecular $Mo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ cubic units but furthermore exhibit molybdenum atoms in the channels of the host structure of these compounds, leading to the new formula $Mo_p[Mo_6Se_{8-x}S_x]$ with $0 \le x \le 5$ and $y \sim 0.3$. These extra molybdenum atoms stabilize the Chevrel phase host network and seem to be linking with a molybdenum atom of the Mo_6 cluster, forming a kind of Mo_7 cluster. © 1999 Academic Press

I. INTRODUCTION

The so-called Chevrel phases with formula $M_y^{n+}Mo_6X_8$ (M = metal ion, X = chalcogen) have been extensively studied because of their interesting properties (superconductivity, magnetism, ionic conductivity ... (1–3)). These properties are due to the peculiar structure of these compounds, which can be considered as several subnetworks that interact weakly with each other. The structure of the $M_yMo_6X_8$ ternary molybdenum cluster chalcogenides can be described as a three-dimensional framework of quasi-rigid Mo_6X_8 building blocks, forming quasi-orthogonal secant channels where M metal ions can take place. This situation allows small cations to be very mobile (3).

In this large family, metastable compounds exist. Chevrel *et al.* have shown that α -Mo₆S₈ binary cannot be prepared from the starting elements, in contrast to the case of Mo₆Se₈ (4). Thus, α -Mo₆S₈ is a metastable binary. We recently reported the single-crystal structure determination of a new metastable binary sulfide type: β -Mo₆S₈ (5). β -Mo₆S₈ is only obtained by soft chemistry from heating of the α -type. In β -Mo₆S₈ we identified molybdenum atoms in the host network, which can explain the higher stability of this

compound. We demonstrated that β -Mo₆S₈ is really Mo_{~1}[Mo₆S₈], a pseudoternary compound.

So, we decided to investigate the whole series of $Mo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 4$) stable compounds in order to check the occurrence of molybdenum atoms in the channels of the host.

In the system $Mo_6Se_8-Mo_6S_8$, at least two $Mo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ solid solutions exist (6–8). The first one is composed of stable "binaries" made at high temperature, so-called HT- $Mo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 4$). The other one is formed by metastable compounds denoted α - $Mo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 8$) obtained by soft chemistry (6–9). This last series can only come from a ternary chalcogenide compound such as $Cu_yMo_6X_8$ or $Ni_yMo_6X_8$ (X = Se, S) from which small cations have been deintercalated by an oxidation process. For example, hydrochloric acid on $Ni_yMo_6X_8$ powders removes Ni cations; then α - Mo_6X_8 metastable binaries are obtained (4).

With the same stoichiometry as chalcogen atoms, α and HT compounds have different crystal lattice parameters, but the same space group, $R\overline{3}$. Particularly the α_R rhombohedral angle of HT compounds is higher than that of the α corresponding binaries. This angle changes weakly as function of x in the α series, while it increases greatly in the HT solid solution (2, 5).

Until now, no reason has been proposed to explain this difference, and sometimes α and HT compounds have even been confused (9). A correct explanation for us is that HT-Mo₆X₈ are also pseudoternary compounds as β -Mo₆S₈. In order to confirm this assumption we report in the following the single-crystal structure results of the HT stable compounds to discover whether or not they contain extra molybdenum atoms in the channels of the host network.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND COMMENT

We started to synthesize HT-Mo₆ X_8 compounds from stoichiometric mixtures of MoS₂, MoSe₂, and Mo powders.



For instance for x = 2 the reactional mixture is:

$$1MoS_2 + 3MoSe_2 + 2Mo \rightarrow "Mo_6Se_6S_2$$
."

It is pressed into pellets, then sealed into silica tube, and progressively heated at 1200°C for 1 week. The X-ray diffraction pattern of the resulting compounds shows Mo_6X_8 rhombohedral compound, but always in the presence of MoX_2 impurities isotypic with MoS_2 (Fig. 1). So if we want to explain the presence of MoX_2 in the resulting product, in order to equilibrate the reaction, elemental Mo is necessarily in this product. But we never identified unreacted molybdenum powder in the resulting mixture. So we expect the missing molybdenum atoms to be inside the host network channels of HT-Mo₆X₈ compounds.

To test this assumption, we carried out an easy experiment. We added to the starting mixture an excess of molybdenum metallic powder and then followed the previous process. The addition of extra molybdenum atoms (about $0.30Mo/Mo_6$) allowed us to obtain single-phase HT- $Mo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ (x = 2) compounds without $Mo(Se,S)_2$ (Fig. 2). This new result led us to solve the crystal structure on single crystals from two mixtures of composition " $Mo_6Se_6S_2$ " and " $Mo_6Se_{4.6}S_{3.4}$."

These single crystals of HT-Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x are made from a "stoichiometric" mixture of MoS₂, MoSe₂, and Mo powders pressed into pellets and placed in an alumina crucible. The crucible is then sealed into a molybdenum tube and heated around 1550°C for 72 h. After that, the tubes are cooled at the rate of 100°C/h down to 1000°C.



FIG. 1. X-ray diffraction pattern of HT-Mo₆Se₆S₂ and occurrence of MoX₂ (*) (with silicium standard) (λ CuK α 1).



FIG. 2. X-ray diffraction pattern of HT-Mo₆Se₆S₂ with ~ 0.3 extra molybdenum atoms per Mo₆.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crystal Structure Determination

We isolated small single crystals of both "compositions." X-ray diffraction intensities were collected on a Nonius-CAD 4 four-circle diffractometer under the experimental conditions given in Table 1. All calculations are performed using the MOLEN's program system (10) and absorption corrections were applied. We refined in a rhombohedral unit cell the atomic positions of molydenum atoms and X chalcogen atoms constituting the Mo_6X_8 cluster units as found in Mo_6Se_8 , assuming that crystals contained only selenium atoms. Then, we refined the fractional occupancy of the selenium atoms: at the end of refinement we calculated the real occupancies of both the selenium and the sulfur atoms from the selenium fractional occupancy and constraint to full occupancy of the eight available chalcogen positions.

All refined parameters and results are summarized in Table 2. After refinement of all molybdenum and chalcogen atom parameters, the differential map of electronic density pointed out a significant electronic density peak on a 6*f* position inside the channels of the network. This excess of electrons is situated in a cavity called cavity 2 centered in (1/2,0,0);(0,1/2,0);(0,0,1/2) inside the host. These electrons are attributed to molybdenum atoms (Fig. 3).

The most persuasive proof of the nature of these excess atoms is the release of molybdenum chloride observed when HT-Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 4$) compounds are heated near 600°C under HCl gas flow (8). A loss of weight is noted, and the X-ray diffraction pattern shows that the resulting compounds are actually α -Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 4$) binaries. We

Mo ATOMS IN NETWORK OF CHEVREL PHASES

TABLE 1	
Crystal Data and Experimental Parameters for Intensity Data G	Collection

	a. Mo _{0.28} Mo ₆ Se	e _{4.78} S _{3.22}	
I. Crystal data			
Formula:	$Mo_{0.28}Mo_6Se_{4.78}S_{3.22}$		M: 1083.2 g/mole
Crystal system:	rhombohedral	space group	<i>R</i> -3 (no 148)
Parameters:	$a_{\rm R} = 6.5807$ (6) A	$\alpha_{\rm R} = 93.11^{\circ} (1)$	$V_{\rm R} = 283.68 \ (8) \ {\rm A}^3$
	$a_{\rm H} = 9.556 (1) {\rm A}$	$c_{\rm H} = 10.76$ (2) A	$V_{\rm H} = 851.0$ (2) A ³
Unit cell refined from reflection (9° <	$\zeta \theta < 15^{\circ}$	7.1	
$\rho_{cal} = 6.34$: g/cm ^o		Z: 1 0.058 · · 0.058 · · 0.046 · · · · · 3	
Crystal size		$0.058 \times 0.058 \times 0.046 \text{ mm}^{\circ}$	
Linear absorption factor		32.09 mm	
II. Data collection			
Temperature: 295 K		Wavelength: $MoK\alpha$ radiation	
Diffractometer: Enraf Nonius CAD-4	l .	Scan mode: ω -2 θ	
Monochromator: graphite		Scan width: $1.20 + 0.35tg\theta$	
-10 < h < 10; 0 < k < 10; 0 < l < 1	0	$\theta_{\rm max}$: 35	
968 measured reflections			
701 independent reflections (with $I >$	$\sigma(I)$	$R_{\rm int}: 0.025$	
III. Structural determination Lorentz and polarization corrections Absorption correction: PSISCAN (11 Refinement on F))		
602 in demondant reflections with L	2 - (1)		
003 independent reflections with $I > U_{\rm payoinf}$	$3\sigma(1)$	Weighted agreement factor $P_{\rm c} = 0.041$	
S = 0.864	050	weighted agreement factor $K_{\omega} = 0.041$	
Ponderation factor: 0.07		$\omega = 4 F_{\pi}^{2} / [\sigma^{2}(F_{\pi}^{2}) + (0.07 F_{\pi}^{2})^{2}]$	
$\Lambda_0 \simeq 1.359 \mathrm{e}^{-1} \mathrm{\AA}^3$		$\Lambda_0 = -3413 e^{-1} Å^3$	
$(\Lambda/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.05$			
Extinction coefficient		3.96×10^{-7}	
Atomic scattering factor from Interna	al Tables for X-Ray Crystallography (12)		
	h M- M- S	- 6	
I. Crystal data	$0. 100_{0.28} 100_{6} S_{0}$	² 4.22 ³ 3.78	
Formula:	Mos as Mos Sec as Se as		M· 1056 3 g/mole
Crystal system:	rhombohedral	Space group:	R-3 (no. 148)
Parameters:	$a_{\rm p} = 6.5660 (5) \text{ Å}$	$\alpha_{\rm p} = 93.27^{\circ} (1)$	$V_{\rm p} = 281.64$ (8) Å ³
	$a_{\rm H} = 9.547 (1) \text{ Å}$	$c_{\rm H} = 10.704 \ (2) \text{ Å}$	$V_{\rm H} = 844.9 (2) {\rm \AA}^3$
Unit cell refined from 25 reflections ($9 < \theta < 15$		· n · · · · · · (-) · ·
$\rho_{cal} = 6.23 \text{ g/cm}^3$		Z: 1	
Crystal size		$0.046 \times 0.046 \times 0.046 \text{ mm}^3$	
Linear absorption factor:		32.93 mm ⁻¹	
*			
II. Data collections			
Temperature: 295 K		Wavelength: $MoK\alpha$ radiation	
Diffractometer: Enraf Nonius CAD-4	l .	Scan mode: ω -2 θ	
Monochromator: graphite		Scan width: $1.20 + 0.35 tg\theta$	
$0 < h < 10; \ -10 < k < 10; \ 0 < l < 1$	0	$\theta_{\rm max}$: 35	
962 measured reflections			
530 independent reflections (with $I >$	$\sigma(I)$	$R_{\rm int}$: 0.072	
 III. Structure determination Lorentz and polarization corrections Absorption correction: spherical Refinement on F Refined parameters: 35 			
446 independent reflections with $I >$	$3\sigma(I)$		
Unweighted agreement factor $R = 0.0$	041	Weighted agreement factor $R_{\omega} = 0.050$	
S = 1.188		2 _ 2 _ 2 2 2 2	
Ponderation factor: 0.05		$\omega = 4 F_0^2 / [\sigma^2 (F_0^2) + (0.05 F_0^2)^2]$	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}$: 1.665 e ⁻ . A ³		$\Delta \rho_{\min}$: -0.656 e ⁻ · A ³	
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.02$		7.07 10-9	
Extinction coefficient:		1.07 × 10 5	
Atomic scattering factor from Interna	ai rables for x-kay Crystallography (12)		

Atom	Position	x	У	Ζ	B (Å ²)
	НЛ	Г-Мо _{0 28} Мо	Se _{4 78} S _{3 22}		
Mo(1)	6 <i>f</i>	0.22075(7)	0.41092(6)	0.54575(7)	1.492(7)
Se(1)	6 <i>f</i>	0.3739(1)	0.1275(1)	0.7406(1)	1.80(1)
0.51 Se/0.49 S					
Se(2)	2c	0.2036(1)	0.2036(1)	0.2036(1)	1.872(4)
0.86 Se/0.14 S					
Mo(2)	6 <i>f</i>	0.987(1)	0.491(1)	0.927(1)	1.1(1)
$\tau = 0.047(1)$					
	НТ	Г-Мо _{0 28} Мо	$Se_{4,22}S_{3,78}$		
Mo(1)	6 <i>f</i>	0.2202(1)	0.4105(1)	0.5457(1)	1.83(1)
Se(1)	6 <i>f</i>	0.3731(2)	0.1278(2)	0.7391(2)	2.28(3)
0.43 Se/0.57 S					
Se(2)	2c	0.2024(2)	0.2024(2)	0.2024(2)	2.26(1)
0.82 Se/0.18 S					
Mo(2)	6 <i>f</i>	0.986(2)	0.492(2)	0.929(2)	0.9(2)
$\tau = 0.046(2)$					

 TABLE 2

 Positional Parameters, Isotropic Equivalent B^a and

 Their Estimated Standard Deviations

^{*a*}The isotropic equivalent displacement parameter is defined as (4/3) $[a^{2}B(1,1) + b^{2}B(2,2) + c^{2}B(3,3) + ab(\cos \gamma)B(1,2) + ac(\cos \beta)B(1,3) + bc(\cos \alpha)B(2,3)].$

have also demonstrated that α -Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x compounds are binary compounds as Mo₆Se₈ or α -Mo₆S₈ by single crystal structure determinations (8). These α -Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x compounds constitute only host networks without cations in the channels.

The final refinements give the following formula for the HT studied compounds: $Mo_{0.28}[Mo_6Se_{4.78}S_{3.22}]$ and $Mo_{0.28}[Mo_6Se_{4.22}S_{3.78}]$.

Crystal Structure Description and Discussion

From the calculated occupancies of chalcogen atoms, the HT-Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 4$) compounds exhibit an enrichment in selenium in the 2c chalcogen position on the threefold axis (Table 2) as the corresponding mixed binaries α -Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x. This case (large anion on 2*c* position) seems to stabilize such compounds when they are mixed binaries, pseudobinaries such as $Mo_6S_6Br_2$ (13), or mixed ternaries with small cations (7, 14, 15). In contrary, in the compounds containing large cations such as La, the largest chalcogen (Se) sits preferably on a general 6f position off the three-fold axis (16). The main interatomic distances are reported in Table 3. The extra molybdenum atoms (Mo(2)) situated in cavity 2 are in distorted triangular-based pyramidal chalcogen sites (Fig. 4b). In cavity 2, two equivalent positions exist via 1 inversion center located on (1/2,0,0); (0,1/2,0); (0,0,1/2). The distance between two Mo(2) positions is less



FIG. 3. Projection of the HT-Mo_yMo₆Se_{8-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 4$) structure on (11–20) hexagonal plane. View of the two types of cavities.

than 1.0 Å; when a position in cavity 2 is occupied, the other one is vacant (Fig. 4a and Table 3).

In β -Mo₆S₈ compound, the Mo(2) extra atoms occupy cavity 1 (6*f* position) in a squared planar sulfur environment. One cavity 1 over two is occupied by two Mo(2) atoms forming a fourfold bonding at 2.22 Å (5) (Fig. 5b).

In HT compounds, on the other hand, there is no bonding between Mo(2) atoms. But the shortest distance (~ 2.73 Å) between an intercalated Mo(2) molybdenum atom and a Mo(1) molybdenum atom forming the Mo₆ cluster is very similar to those existing in the Mo₆ cluster (~ 2.70 Å) (Table 3). So we can assume that Mo(2) atoms are single-bonded to Mo(1) atoms and form a kind of Mo₇ cluster (Fig. 5a). Yet the partial occupancy of cavity 2 can let us imagine more complex molybdenum clusters such as Mo₈ or Mo₉.

Recent results on metastable β -type Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x (0 < x < 8) pseudoternaries also showed the occurrence of

Distances	HT-Mo _{0.28} Mo ₆ Se _{4.78} S _{3.22}	HT-Mo _{0.28} Mo ₆ Se _{4.22} S _{3.78}
Mo_6X_8 cluster unit		
Intratriangle		
$(Mo(1)-Mo(1))_{A}$	2.703(1)	2.704(1)
Intertriangle $Mo(1)_{A}$ - $Mo(1)_{A}$	2.791(1)	2.788(1)
Intercluster Mo(1)-Mo(1)	3.230(1)	3.221(1)
Mo(1) - X(2)	2.563(1)	2.562(1)
X(1)	2.503(1)	2.496(1)
X(1)	2.542(1)	2.530(1)
X(1)	2.588(1)	2.581(1)
Intercluster $Mo(1)-X(1)$	2.543(1)	2.532(1)
X(2) - X(1)	3.718(1)	3.705(1)
X(1) - X(1)	3.481(1)	3.474(1)
X_8 origin cubic site		
X(2) - X(1)	3.325(1)	3.321(1)
X(1) - X(1)	3.510(1)	3.500(1)
Mo(1)-Mo(2)	2.73(1)	2.72(1)
Mo(2)-Mo(2)	0.97(1)	0.95(1)
Mo(2) - X(2)	2.59(1)	2.58(1)
X(1)	2.02(1)	2.03(1)
X(1)	2.27(1)	2.27(1)
X(1)	2.48(1)	2.48(1)

 TABLE 3

 Interatomic Distances (Å) for HT-Mo_vMo₆Se_{8-x}S_x Compounds

extra molybdenum Mo(2) atoms in the channels of the host structure (8). In the near future, those results will be compared to the ones presented here (17).

Valence State of Mo(2)

In the Chevrel phases, there is a correlation between the valence state of the intercalated element in the host network and the $Mo(1)_{\Delta}$ - $Mo(1)_{\Delta}$ intratriangle distance of the Mo_6 cluster (Fig. 6) (18, 19). Yvon has shown that the metallic element transfers its valence electrons toward the Mo_6 cluster. This charge transfer provokes the shortening of the $Mo(1)_{\Delta}$ - $Mo(1)_{\Delta}$ intratriangle distance of the Mo_6 cluster, which means reinforcement of the Mo-Mo bonding inside the Mo_6 cluster.

A model taking into consideration the difference of covalence of chalcogen (Se and S) was proposed to illustrate the variation of the distance $Mo(1)_{\Delta}$ -Mo(1)_{Δ} as a function of this transfer or another parameter: the valence electron concentration per molybdenum atom of the Mo₆ cluster called VEC (Fig. 6) (18, 19). The difference of covalence between sulfur and selenium atoms involves VEC = $3.33 \text{ e}^-/Mo$ for Mo₆S₈, VEC = $3.50 \text{ e}^-/Mo$ for Mo₆Se₈, and intermediate values for α -Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 8$) mixed binaries. Two distinct curves have been drawn for ternary molybdenum sulfides and selenides. Between these two curves are many curves for $M_yMo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 8$) mixed ternary compounds. From this graph we can infer different VEC for α and HT compounds having the same Se/S ratio. This VEC difference corresponds to charge



FIG. 4. Host network occupation of cavity 2 by Mo(2) extra molybdenum atoms in HT- $Mo_yMo_6Se_{8-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 4$). (a) Mo(2)-Mo(2) distance ~1 Å; (b) environment of Mo(2) atoms.



FIG. 5. View of the two kinds of Mo(2) extra molybdenum atoms. (a) Case of HT-Mo_yMo₆X₈(Mo_{0.28}Mo₆Se_{4.78}S_{3.22}): 2.70 Å corresponding to a single bond Mo(2)–Mo(1) with Mo(1) atoms forming the Mo₆ cluster. (b) Case of β -Mo₆S₈ (= Mo_{1.18}Mo₆S₈): 2.22 Å corresponding to a quadruple bond Mo(2)–Mo(2).

transfer coming from the Mo(2) extra molybdenum atoms toward the Mo₆ cluster. Then, we calculate the charge of the Mo(2) atoms contained in the HT compounds (VEC difference divided by the quantity of Mo(2) atoms).

We have reported on the graph the $Mo(1)_{\Delta}-Mo(1)_{\Delta}$ distance found in HT ternary mixed compounds and corresponding α binaries (Fig. 6). In Table 4, we collect the deduced VEC of HT and α compounds, and the charge of Mo(2) atoms intercalated in HT pseudoternaries.

The Mo(2) atoms seem to be at low valence state near +2 like that of the Mo(1) atoms forming the Mo₆ cluster. The low valence chemistry of molybdenum is characterized by the formation of metal-metal bonding. So the Mo(2) atoms should be linked with other metallic atoms. Indeed, the shortest Mo(2)-Mo(1) distance, around 2.70 Å, supports the hypothesis of Mo(1)-Mo(2) single bonding (Table 3, Fig. 5b). So, we probably have some Mo₇ or higher nuclearity clusters in HT compounds, because of the low fractional Mo(2) occupancy (0.28Mo(2)/Mo₆(1)).

We have shifted the old limit composition $Mo_6Se_4S_4$ (7) by addition of extra molybdenum at richer MoS_2 starting mixtures. So we stabilized a new compound close

to $Mo_{0.50}Mo_6Se_3S_5$ composition $(a_H = 9.51(1) \text{ Å}; c_H = 10.59(1) \text{ Å}; v_H = 826(1) \text{ Å}^3)$ (Fig. 7).

The discovery of molybdenum atoms within the network of the Chevrel phases permits determination of a more accurate composition of the HT pseudobinaries (Fig. 3) and enlarges the HT compound range.

IV. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

We have studied the superconducting critical temperature (T_c) of HT-Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x $(0 \le x \le 5)$ and α -Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x $(0 \le x \le 8)$ compounds. We have determined the T_c onset by inductive measurements and represented the variation of T_c as a function of x for these two solid solutions (Fig. 8). Our results for α compounds are in agreement with those of Chevrel and co-workers (7), Tarascon *et al.* (9), and Umarji *et al.* (20).

For the same chalcogen stoichiometry, HT pseudobinaries have lower T_c than α compounds. The presence of extra molybdenum atoms seems to decrease T_c , probably because extra molybdenum atoms have 4*d* atomic orbitals that can



FIG. 6. Variation of $Mo(1)_{\Delta}$ - $Mo(1)_{\Delta}$ distance as function of VEC for α , HT mixed binaries and sulfur, selenium ternaries from the model of Yvon (18, 19).

take part in the electronic band structure at the Fermi level and modify the band model compared to the one for corresponding α pseudobinaries.

In HT compounds, a correlation between α_R and T_c has been already reported (21). T_c is inversely proportional to α_R . From our new results, the increase of α_R as function of x in HT series can be now explained by the existence of extra molybdenum atoms. In fact, α_R increases when the number of extra molybdenum atoms increases. For example, the richest sulfur compound Mo_{0.5}Mo₆Se₃S₅ has the highest α_R and actually contains the most extra molybdenum atoms, and also has the lowest T_c . In contrast, Mo₆Se₈ exhibits the highest T_c and the smallest α_R of the HT series, and so should have the fewest extra molybdenum atoms ($y \sim 0$) in the host lattice. Really, we expected Mo₆Se₈ to be a true

 TABLE 4

 VEC of α -Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x and HT-Mo_yMo₆Se_{8-x}S_x Compounds,

 Valence State and Charge of Mo(2) Extra Molybdenum Atoms

Composition		Se _{4.78} S _{3.22}	Se _{4.22} S _{3.78}
VEC electron/Mo	α	3.43	3.41
		3.54	3.52
Number of transferred electrons to Mo_6	HT	0.66	0.66
<i>n</i> charge of Mo(2) atom		+ 2.36	+2.36
n' charge of Mo(1) atom from Mo ₆ y	= 0.28	+ 2.46	+ 2.48



FIG. 7. X-ray diffraction pattern of a new $Mo_{0.50}Mo_6Se_3S_5$ compound (with silicium standard) ($\lambda CuK\alpha 1$).

binary because the refined unit cell parameters on single crystals give the same results for HT-Mo₆Se₈ and α -Mo₆Se₈ compounds (8).



FIG. 8. Variation of T_c (onset) as a function of x amount of sulfur in α -Mo₆Se_{8-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 8$) and HT-Mo_yMo₆Se_{8-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 5$) compounds.

V. CONCLUSION

The ternary $M_y^{n+}Mo_6X_8$ Chevrel phases are characterized by the presence of M^{n+} cations in the host network. However, some exceptions have been encountered such as Pd_{1.6}Mo₆S₈ (22), where palladium atoms seem to be bonding each other in cavity 1 of the network, or Ni_yMo₆X₈ (8, 23), where nickel atoms seem to form $(Ni_2)^{2+}$ or $(Ni_3)^{2+}$ agregates in cavity 1 or 2. Now our interesting results show, for the first time in sulfur–selenium compounds, two kinds of molybdenum atoms: Mo(1) atoms forming the Mo₆ cluster, and Mo(2) atoms intercalated into the host network.

Moreover, we have identified for the Mo(2) extra molybdenum atoms two possibilities for occupation of the host network: either they sit in cavity 1 constituting Mo(2)– Mo(2) quadruple bonds (the case of β -Mo₆S₈), or they occupy cavity 2 forming single bonds with Mo(1) atoms (from the Mo₆ clusters) and so become a kind of Mo₇ cluster.

REFERENCES

- B. T. Matthias, M. Marezio, E. Corenzwit, A. S. Cooper, and H. F. Barz, *Science* 175, 1465 (1972).
- Ø. Fischer, H. Jones, G. Bongi, M. Sergent, and R. Chevrel, J. Phys. C 7, 450 (1974).
- R. Schöllhorn, R. M. Kümpers, and J. O. Besenhard, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 12, 781 (1977).
- 4. R. Chevrel, M. Sergent, and J. Prigent, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 9, 1487 (1974).

- 5. S. Belin, R. Chevrel, and M. Sergent, Mater. Res. Bull. 33, 43-57 (1998).
- R. Chevrel and M. Sergent, *in* "Topics of Current Physics, Vol. 32, Superconductivity in Ternary Compounds I" (Ø. Fischer and M. B. Maple, Eds.), pp. 25–86. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- M. Potel, P. Gougeon, R. Chevrel, and M. Sergent, *Rev. Chim. Miner.* 21, 509 (1984).
- 8. S. Belin, Thesis, University of Rennes, France, 1997.
- J. M. Tarascon, F. J. Di Salvo, J. V. Waszczak, and G. W. Hull, *Phys. Rev. B* 31, 1012 (1985).
- C. K. Fair, "MOLEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis," Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands (1990).
- 11. N. Walker and D. Stuart, Acta Crystallogr. Sect A 39, 158 (1983).
- 12. "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. IV. Kynoch Press, Birmingham, UK (distributor D. Reil, Dordrecht), 1975.
- C. Perrin, R. Chevrel, M. Sergent and Ø. Fischer, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 14, 1505 (1979).
- 14. L. S. Selwin, W. R. McKinnon, J. R. Dahn, and Y. Le Page, *Phys. Rev.* B 33, 6405 (1986).
- L. S. Selwin, W. R. McKinnon, and Y. Le Page, *Phys. Rev B* 42, 10427 (1990).
- D. C. Johnston, J. M. Tarascon, and M. J. Sienko, *Inorg. Chem.* 22, 3773 (1983).
- 17. S. Belin, R. Chevrel, and M. Sergent, submitted for publication.
- K. Yvon, *in* "Current Topics in Materials Science," Vol. 3 (E. Kaldis, Ed.), pp. 53–129. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- 19. K. Yvon, *in* "Proc. VI Int. Conf. Solid Compounds of Transition Elements, Stuttgart," pp. 15–17, 1979.
- A. M. Umarji, G. V. Subba Rao, V. Sankaranalayanan, G. Rangarajan, and R. Srinivasan, *in* "Proc. Ternary Superconductors" (G. K. Shenoy, B. D. Dunlap, and F. Y. Fradin, Eds.). Elsevier, North Holland (1981).
- 21. R. Chevrel and M. Sergent, Mater. Res. Bull. 10, 1169 (1975).
- O. Peña, M. Potel, R. Chevrel, and M. Sergent, *in* "Proc. VII Int. Conf. Solid Compounds of Transition Elements, Grenoble," 1982.
- C. Prigge, W. Müller-Warmuth, E. Gocke, and R. Schöllhorn, Solid State Ionics 62, 143 (1993).